JOSEPH PRICE, Ph.D.

January 18, 2014

Prepared for you by



Bingham Farms/Southfield • Grand Rapids
Ann Arbor • Detroit • Flint • Jackson • Lansing • Mt. Clemens • Saginaw

- 1 A. Joseph Price.
- 2 Q. What I'd like for our first exhibit, which the court
- 3 reporter will mark as Exhibit 1, is your expert
- 4 report.
- 5 MARKED BY THE REPORTER:
- 6 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 1
- 7 8:13 a.m.
- 8 BY MR. BLOCK:
- 9 Q. If you could, take a quick look. Is this the expert
- 10 report you submitted in this case?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Great. Let's turn to the back of the report to your
- 13 CV. We're at Exhibit A, CV. I just want to ask you a
- 14 few questions about it. Your CV says you have a BA in
- economics and a Ph.D. in economics.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Have you had any professional training in psychiatry?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Psychiatry?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Sociology?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Social worker?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Anything related to children's development or well



- being?
- 2 A. Aside from economic research on family structure, no.
- 3 Q. No classes or training in that area at all?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did you consider yourself an expert in child
- 6 development?
- 7 A. Again, aside from economic research on family
- 8 structure, no.
- 9 Q. An expert in children's well being?
- 10 A. The outcomes of children's well being often plays an
- important role in economics and I'm an expert in those
- 12 areas.
- 13 Q. Have you appeared as an expert witness in any previous
- 14 litigation?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Have you filed expert affidavits in any litigation?
- 17 A. I have filed this expert witness report. I filed one
- in Virginia and I filed one as part of another case
- 19 here in Michigan called Bassett.
- 20 Q. Any others?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Isn't that true that you filed an expert report in a
- 23 Utah cause?
- 24 A. It was an amicus brief.
- 25 Q. I'm having the court reporter mark as Exhibit 2 a



- 1 A. No. The only one was Exhibit 6.
- 2 Q. How many studies on an issue do you think someone
- 3 should conduct before they qualify as an expert on
- 4 that issue?
- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 Q. Do you think at least one?
- 7 MS. HEYSE: Can we clarify on what issue?
- 8 BY MR. BLOCK:
- 9 Q. Just in general, how many studies should someone have
- 10 conducted in order to qualify as an expert on that?
- 11 A. I don't have an opinion on that particular subject.
- 12 At least within economics the publication of a seminal
- paper is enough for an economist to be able to make
- 14 significant statements on the topic.
- 15 Q. One seminal papers?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You would characterize your comments in Demography on
- 18 Rosenfeld as a seminal paper?
- 19 A. I wouldn't necessarily attach the seminal. I think
- it's one of the most important papers. I think
- 21 Rosenfeld's was a very important paper. I think what
- our paper does is it takes an important paper and uses
- the exact same framework to show that just some very
- 24 small changes lead to very different results.
- 25 Q. Was your comment paper peer reviewed?



1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	So you would agree with me all your publications
3		listed on your CV about the MBA aren't relevant to the
4		topic of outcomes of children raised by same-sex
5		parents?
6		MS. HEYSE: I'm going object because it's
7		vague. If there is something regarding the MBA that
8		deals with empirical, it would be relevant to his
9		expertise.
10	BY 1	MR. BLOCK:
11	Q.	You are witness. Can you answer my question?
12	Α.	Yes. So the other research on my vitae doesn't speak
13		specifically to same-sex parents. It speaks to
14		expertise in using large data sets using very
15		sophisticated statistical methods and engaging in
16		transparent research that can be replicated by other
17		scholars.
18	Q.	Going back to page 8 in your report, you say, based on
19		my research and my evaluations of published research
20		in the field, I want to ask you we heard you
21		mention Regnerus's paper, the Rosenfeld paper, your
22		comment, the Potter paper. Anything else?
23	Α.	And then I drew on the reviews of past literature
24		conducted by Michael Rosenfeld, Doug Allen and Loren
25		Marks.



24

25

Q.

Page 50

1	Q.	Did you review that literature yourself?
2	A.	I did not review original studies.
3	Q.	So your knowledge of all the other studies conducted
4		in the field are based on the assessments from
5		Rosenfeld, Marks and Allen?
6	Α.	Yeah. And I guess other one I would add would be the
7		paper by Anderson, et al. in Demography in 2006.
8		While it's not specifically a review of literature,
9		they provide a really good discussion of many of the
10		issues and past research.
11	Q.	You read that article?
12		MARKED BY THE REPORTER:
13		DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 10
14		9:22 a.m.
15	BY N	MR. BLOCK:
16	Q.	I'm giving you the supporting bibliography attached to
17		Dr. Brodzinsky, the plaintiff's expert witness, in
18		this case that lists, according to him, all the
19		relevant research in this field.
20	A.	Mm-hmm.
21	Q.	Have you read any well, let's go through this. Let
22		me know which articles here you have read.
23	Α.	Can you rephrase your question?



I just want to know -- let's move on.

In terms of forming your expert opinion,

1		families, but we don't have empirical evidence that
2		allows you to follow kids from birth.
3	BY I	MR. BLOCK:
4	Q.	So the evidence that we do have are from samples that
5		you say the majority of which consisted of children
6		who are the product of a failed heterosexual union
7		before being raised by same-sex couples; is that
8		right?
9	Α.	Well, they're the product of a failed they're not
10		biologically related to both parents in the sense
11		they're listed as step-children.
12	Q.	But they came into this world by a heterosexual couple
13		conceiving them?
14	A.	Not all of them. I mean there are two to three
15		percent that are adopted.
16	Q.	The majority?
17	Α.	The majority, yes.
18	Q.	Based on the sample where the majority consisted of
19		children who were the product of a failed heterosexual
20		union, you think you can extrapolate from that data
21		and conclusions about children who are raised from
22		birth by same-sex couples?
23	Α.	What you can do is draw upon the likely mechanisms
24		which include gender, biologic relatedness and
25		stability all three of these would point to a



1		disadvantage of children of same-sex couples even if
2		they're raised from birth.
3	Q.	I want to turn back to the expert report of Professor
4		Allen which is marked as what exhibit number is
5		that?
6	Α.	Exhibit 9.
7	Q.	Exhibit 9. So just to turn to paragraph 33. So in
8		this paragraph. He's just finished discussing
9		Rosenfeld's studies and your comment on it. He is
10		talking about his study in 2013. He says, you know,
11		there are several problems with the empirical debate
12		just mentioned before getting to his 2013 study.
13		First, one must not put too much weight on
14		a result that depends on whether a sample restriction
15		or control is used in the analysis. Second, the data,
16		test data is from the 2000 census. Third skipping
17		down a couple lines in 2000 no states in the union
18		had legal same-sex marriage. Fourth, since the two
19		studies and he means Rosenfeld's and yours were
20		unable to control for marital history of the
21		parents fourth, the two studies were unable to
22		control for the marital history of the parents. Since
23		the results found by both studies are close to those
24		of children in single parent homes, the slower
25		progress through school may be the result of a



1		previous divorce and not same-sex structure of the
2		household.
3		Do you disagree with that Allen that the
4		slower progress reflected in your study could be the
5		result of a previous divorce and not the same-sex
6		structure of the household?
7	Α.	Again, this statement is based on something we have to
8		often do as scholars, which is extrapolate a little
9		bit beyond the available data is. I would actually
10		feel more strongly about the fact that the mechanisms
11		point to children facing a disadvantage that are
12		raised that are raised even from the start in a
13		same-sex couple because they're going to they're
14		going to lack either a father or a mother. They're
15		not going to be biologically related to both parents
16		and they're going to experience less stability in that
17		family structure.
18	Q.	Have you been able to exclude the hypothesis that the
19		poorer outcomes in your study are attributable to the
20		result of a previous divorce and not the same-sex
21		structure of the house?
22	Α.	Again, we don't we are unable to directly test the
23		outcomes of children that have been raised from the
24		start in the same-sex house, but the mechanisms that
25		we can examine all point to them facing a



1	disadvantage.
1000	

- 2 Q. I just want an answer to my question about the role of
- 3 divorce.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Have you been able to exclude the possibility that the
- 6 poorer outcomes are the result of divorce?
- 7 A. The data do not allow you to distinguish whether the
- 8 effects are operating through divorce or some other
- 9 mechanism.
- 10 Q. Great.
- 11 A. Just to be clear, divorce is a family of instability
- and it's really clear that same-sex couples have less
- stable unions than opposite sex couples.
- 14 Q. The nature of same-sex couple unions, you believe.
- makes it more likely that there was a previous failed
- heterosexual union before they got together?
- 17 A. No. I'm saying that it is true that children are
- negatively effected by instability and that's one of
- 19 the mechanisms through which child outcomes are worse
- for children raised by same-sex couples.
- 21 Q. When Allen says the slower progress in school may be
- 22 the result of a previous divorce and not the same-sex
- 23 structure of the household, he is not talking about
- the same-sex divorce, he is talking about the
- 25 heterosexual divorce?



1	Α.	He is definitely referring to a heterosexual divorce.
2	Q.	Okay. Good. Parental gender, biological relatedness
3		and stability, how did you come up with that list?
4	Α.	So what made me interested in the first place was what
5		channels through which channels family structure
6		effects child outcomes. It's clear that there is a
7		lot of research that focuses on the gender of the
8		parents. There's a lot of research that focuses on
9		biologic relatedness. This is research about
10		step-families. That there is a lot of research
11		related to stability. As an economist, these would be
12		three of the natural channels through which children
13		are affected by families structure.
14	Q.	As an economists it would be the natural
15	A.	These would be the lens through which an economist,
16		but I think actually most reasonable scholars would
17		review this as reasons through which children are
18		affected by family structure.
19	Q.	Did you read any research about what psychologists
20		think about the channels through which the children
21		could be affected by family structure?
22	A.	I'm not a psychologist. I imagine would they have
23		their own list of channels through which children are
24		affected by family structure.
25	Q.	You haven't familiarized yourself with what that list



25

Page 65

		Tage o
1		might be?
2		MS. HEYSE: Objection. He answered the
3		question.
4	BY N	MR. BLOCK:
5	Q.	Go ahead.
6	Α.	No. I'm an economist. I focus primarily on the tools
7		that we're experts at.
8	Q.	They train you when you get an economics degree,
9		they give you training on parental gender, biological
10		relatedness and stability?
11	Α.	We're trained in the empirical method that allow you
12		to test wether these are potential channels through
13		which child outcomes are affected.
14	Q.	Are you interested in testing whether a previous
15		heterosexual divorce can be a channel through which
16		child outcomes can be affected?
17		MS. HEYSE: Objection, irrelevant.
18	A.	If there was available a nationally large data set,
19		that would be an interesting question.
20	BY M	R. BLOCK:
21	Q.	Would it be fair to add that to this list of
22		mechanisms as a fourth possible mechanism?
23	Α.	Again, one of the mechanisms is stability. I think
24		stability fits very closely with this idea of divorce



being a contributing factor.

1	Q.	We're not	talking about the divorce of the same-sex
2		couples.	I'm talking about a previous heterosexual
3		divorce.	Do you think that could be a potential

- 4 mechanism?
- 5 A. It's possible, yeah.
- 6 Q. Isn't it true that children's outcomes are better when
- 7 they're raised by a married couple than an unmarried
- 8 couple on average?
- 9 A. On average that -- if you don't control for anything
- 10 else, then married couples tend to have children with
- 11 higher outcomes than other family structure types.
- 12 Q. Do you have any interest in testing whether the lack
- of availability for marriage for same-sex couples
- could be a mechanism through which these purported
- 15 differences operate?
- MS. HEYSE: Objection.
- 17 A. Again, I don't have really -- there's other mechanisms
- 18 through which children would be effected even in that
- 19 situation such as gender and biologic relatedness.
- 20 BY MR. BLOCK:
- 21 Q. We're listing potential mechanisms which would be
- useful to test. Do you think that's a potential
- 23 mechanism that would useful for an economist to test?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So it would be fair to add that to that a list of



		rage 0
1		possibilities?
2		MS. HEYSE: Objection, vague.
3		MR. BLOCK: Withdrawn.
4	BY I	MR. BLOCK:
5	Q.	So what qualifies you to be an expert in analyzing the
6		way parental what qualifies you to be an expert in
7		way the parental gender influences parenting?
8	Α.	As an expert in the field of empirical methods, I'm
9		able evaluate the research of others. I've been
10		reviewer of others. I've been the reviewer for 40
11		different journal. Editors put a lot of trust in my
12		opinion of other's research.
13		More specifically in my own area, I have
14		done research on how much time parents spend time with
15		children. In that area there are some clear
16		differences in how fathers and mothers invest time in
17		their children.
18	Q.	Have you personally conducted any studies of gender
19		roles of same-sex couples raising children?
20	Α.	Again, the data that I was using related to time use
21		had too few same-sex couples to provide any
22		information.
23	Q.	Do you know of any studies that look at that to
24		evaluate the gender roles of same-sex couples raising
25		children?



1	Α.	I'm not specifically familiar with any particular
2		studies. I don't know if you had anything in mind.
3		I'm not specifically familiar with any I have
4		looked at I have you know, in the course of my
5		research, I have looked at a number of studies. None
6		of them come directly to mind at this point.
7	Q.	So sitting here today, you are not sure one way or the
8		other about whether such studies exist that examine
9		the gender roles of same-sex couples raising children?
10	Α.	Again, within kind of the confines of nationally
11		representative large data sets, if such a data set
12		existed that would allow you to do that, I'm not
13		familiar with it.
14	Q.	Are you familiar with any studies that evaluate child
15		outcomes in light of the gender roles of their
16		parents?
17	Α.	So in my published paper with Catherine and Doug,
18		which builds on the work of Michael Rosenfeld, one way
19		you can think about gender differences, it looks at
20		differences in single mothers and single fathers and
21		that's the case where you see the children being
22		raised by a single father have noticeably worse
23		outcomes than a single mother which would indicate
24		that not having a mother seems to be a bigger deal
25		than not having a father.



1	Q.	But that study didn't analyze the gender roles that
2		the mothers and fathers played in the sample, did it?
3	Α.	Maybe you could clarify what you mean by gender roles.
4	Q.	Did it analyze whether or not the mother acted in a
5		stereotypical manner or spent more time doing
6		housework with the kids versus acting in a more
7		masculine stereotypical manner and spent more time
8		house roughhousing?
9	Α.	That study didn't. As an economist, we could ask the
10		question, do children need a mother. The way you
11		could ask that question is comparing children from the
12		different family types that either lack a father or a
13		mother. In that case the comparison of single parents
14		is a reasonably way to think about whether fathers or
15		mothers contribute differently to child outcomes.
16	Q.	Let's look at what you say in your report here.
17	Α.	Sure.
18	Q.	Let's look at paragraph 41.
19		MS. HEYSE: I'm sorry. I didn't catch the
20		number.
21		MR. BLOCK: 41.
22	BY M	R. BLOCK:
23	Q.	In the second sentence of that paragraph it says,
24		mother tends to be more empathetic, tender mining and
25		nurturing than men. Did your study analyze whether or



19

20

21

Page 70

1		not the women, the mothers in that sample set, were
2		more empathic, tender minded and nurturing in that
3		sample set?
4	Α.	No. In this paragraph I'm citing research done by
5		other scholars.
6	Q.	You cite David Popenoe, but you don't provide a cite.
7		What are you referring to when you cite to David
8		Popenoe?
9	Α.	That's probably an oversight on my part. I have a
10		copy of the paper. I don't have the citation here
11		with me.
12	Q.	Do you know if it was based on a large random national
13		sample of how mothers and fathers behave?
14	Α.	I'm not entirely sure.
15	Q.	It's possible it's based on small observational sample
16		set; is that right?
17	Α.	It's possible.
18	Q.	But if it based on a small observational sample set of

- 22 and fathers behave?
 23 A. Yes. Again, here I'm speaking to the issue of
- 24 potential mechanisms. Again, these are all cases
- where there is reasonable evidence that mothers parent

how mothers and fathers behave, do you think it's

appropriate as an economist to generalize from that

and make conclusions about how on average all mothers



1

Page 71

2	Q.	The reasonable	evidence	in	this	case	are	small
3		nonrandomized s	ample set	S.				

- A. If you look at the paragraph 43, this is evidence based on a nationally representative sample based on my own research.
- 7 Q. I want to talk about Popenoe.

differently than fathers.

- 8 A. Sure.
- 9 Q. So you think Popenoe's study is worthy of including,
 10 but you don't think that other small sample sets by
 11 psychologists that study same-sex families are worthy
 12 of including. Why is it okay to generalize about the
 13 nurturing nature of mothers in this context, but at
 14 the same time not look at studies that have actually
 15 examined how same-sex parents parent?
- MS. HEYSE: I'm going object to the form of
 the question, because I don't think he said they
 weren't worthy of including.
- 19 BY MR. BLOCK:
- 20 Q. Go ahead.
- 21 A. I think paragraph 39 probably clarifies my position.
- This relates back to the issue of how to conduct
- 23 hypothesis testing. One of the kinds of limitations
- in research in the no difference literature is, again,
- 25 they're making a statement that there is no statical



1		difference, but there is rarely any reporting about
2		what the confidence intervals on that there. There is
3		a large difference between something not be statically
4		different and there actually being no true difference.
5		In that case, the hypothesis testing is a
6		little one-sided in that it's much easier to reject
7		the no difference hypothesis from a statistical
8		perspective than it is to actually prove that there is
9		no difference. Because in order to prove that there
10		is no difference, you actually need a study that a has
11		co-efficient that is close to zero and has really
12		tight confidence intervals.
13		The main challenges in most of the studies
14		that have been used is the sample size is too small.
15		From the start there is actually, if you conduct a
16		power calculation, there is actually no way they're
17		going to be able to reject even, you know, confidence
18		intervals close to zero.
19	Q.	Isn't everything you just said also true when a small
20		sample set concludes that all mothers tend to be more
21		affectionate and nurturing and fathers tend to be more
22		engaged in roughhousing?
23	Α.	No, it's not. It's actually asymmetric in the sense
24		that when you have really small sample size, you're
25		making it hard to detect the true effect. So if you



		i age /
1		actually find a true effect, then that's evidence that
2		rejects the null hypothesis. If you fail to object to
3		the null hypothesis, you actually learned anything.
4	Q.	Let's go on to see what's cited in your report. We
5		talked about Popenoe. You talk about your study of
6		parent/child time. Did your study examine the
7		outcomes of the children in that study?
8	Α.	Not in that study.
9	Q.	Okay. So you don't know whether children did better
10		or worse in terms of subsequent outcomes in terms of
11		how the parents interacted with them in your study?
12	A.	That's right.
13	Q.	So have you cited in this report any studies that give
14		you as an economist, you know, a high enough
15		confidence interval to make statements about how an
16		average mother tends to act and fathers tend to act in
17		terms of have you cited any studies that allow you
18		as an economist to predict that mothers on average
19		will be more empathic, tender minded and nurturing and
20		men on average will have a more rough and tumble
21		approach?
22	Α.	I'm still confused by your question.
23	Q.	Okay. You say here that mothers tend to be empathic,
24		tender minded and nurturing and fathers tend to have a
25		more rough and tumble approach.



1		biological relatedness matters for child outcomes?
2	Α.	There were other studies that I looked at. I remember
3		choosing these two, the second one in particular just
4		because it had, you know, met the kind of bar of
5		having a large data set.
6	Q.	So what search process did you engage in when looking
7		for articles that addressed the relationship between
8		biological relatedness and child outcomes?
9	Α.	I don't remember.
10	Q.	Did you use the Google Scholar?
11	A.	That would be a way I often look for related
12		literature, but I'm not actually sure in this case.
13	Q.	Did you search only for studies say that biological
14		relatedness affects child outcomes or did you also
15		look for studies saying biological relatedness does
16		not affect child outcomes?
17	Α.	Again, you have this asymmetry where I hate to
18		repeat these several times. But you have this
19		asymmetry that failing to reject a null hypothesis is
20		not as convincing evidence as rejecting a null
21		hypothesis. In these cases, the papers I focused on
22		were the ones that rejected a null hypothesis.
23	Q.	In terms of your search process for what you looked at
24		when you were doing your search here, did you look to
25		see if there were any papers out there that



1		established in did you look to see if there were
2		papers out there concluding biological relatedness
3		does not matter for child outcomes?
4	Α.	I was looking for papers that dealt with the question
5		of step-families or biological relatedness. I don't
6		remember exactly which studies I considered or thought
7		to conclude.
8	Q.	When you did the search process, though, you did
9		you anticipate that you would be writing an opinion in
10		this case saying that biological relatedness does
11		matter for child outcomes?
12	A.	So based on my training in graduate school, we talked
13		a lot about the evolutionary roots of parenting
14		practices. So based on kind of the selfish gene
15		theory, my hypothetic would be that biological parents
16		would behave differently toward their children than
17		nonbiologic parents.
18	Q.	So would you say that you have engaged in a
19		comprehensive study of the literature on this issue?
20	Α.	I'm not sure what would, you know, classify as a
21		comprehensive. When I was looking for studies, I was
22		looking for studies related to step-families, not
23		studies related to a specific result.
24	Q.	Would you say that you've engaged in a comprehensive
25		analysis on the literature on step-families?



- 1 A. No. This is a very small part of my expert report. I
- 2 imagine a study of that type would entail a much
- 3 larger review.
- 4 Q. Review of the literature?
- 5 A. Yeah, that's right.
- 6 Q. How many studies have you read on step-parents?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Q. Would you say it's less than 20?
- 9 A. Yeah, less than 20.
- 10 Q. Would you say it's less than 10?
- 11 A. Probably less than 10.
- 12 Q. Probably less than five?
- 13 A. No, probably between five and 10.
- 14 Q. Okay. So as far as you know, there may be studies out
- there showing the biological relatedness does not
- 16 affect children's outcome?
- 17 A. Again, I would be concerned about whether they have
- 18 tight enough confidence intervals to actually rule out
- 19 large differences.
- 20 Q. Do you know whether or not such studies even exist?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 Q. You haven't evaluated any studied and determined they
- don't have a high enough confidence interval, have
- 24 you?
- 25 A. No. One of the challenges is is many studies don't



- include the confidence intervals in their tables.
- 2 Q. So as for these studies by Anderson and Brown, did
- 3 either of those studies involve same-sex couples?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did either of those studies involve families that were
- 6 created through sperm or egg donation?
- 7 A. I don't know about that.
- 8 Q. In fact, isn't that true that both of these studies
- 9 involved heterosexual families?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So those are studies about which one parent brings a
- 12 new spouse into the family?
- 13 A. That's right.
- 14 Q. They're not studies about families where both spouses
- 15 together decided to bring into the world or adopt a
- 16 child, right?
- 17 A. Yes, but they draw on the evolutionary literature that
- 18 wouldn't actually make that distinction.
- 19 Q. In fact, Anderson, he says they were defining
- step-children as children of one's mate from previous
- 21 relationships.
- 22 A. I think that is what we would refer to as a
- 23 step-child.
- 24 Q. Is it your understanding that research on poorer
- outcomes for children in step-families attributes this



1		poorer outcomes to lack of biological connection
2		between step-parent and child?
3	Α.	One of the things we do in empirical work is try to
4		draw on theoretical prediction. The theoretical
5		prediction from evolutionary biology is that people
6		would treat those with genetic relatedness better than
7		those that aren't genetically related to them.
8	Q.	The theories of evolutionary biology, are those based
9		on the same rigorous data sets that other types of
10		empirical work by economists are based on?
11	Α.	The tests of that particular hypothesis have actually
12		been tested by lots of rigorous large scale data sets.
13	Q.	Isn't it true that literature on poorer outcomes of
14		children with step-parents attributes those poorer
15		outcomes on the disruption that is caused to a family
16		when a new person is brought into it?
17	A.	If you could, just clarify or repeat.
18	Q.	Isn't it true that the literature on the poorer
19		outcome for children with step-parents attributes
20		those poorer outcomes to the disruption of the family
21		unit when a new stranger to the family unit coming
22		into the family?
23		MS. HEYSE: I'm going object to the form of
24		the question because it's very broad.
25		To the extent that you can answer, though,



1 gc	ahead.
------	--------

- 2 A. I'm not sure how to answer your question. The one
- 3 thing I could say is there are studies looking at
- 4 children in the same household and it does appear in
- 5 some cases the parent treats the biological children
- 6 different than the step-child.
- 7 BY MR. BLOCK:
- 8 Q. A child who has a step-parent, in order for that to
- 9 happen, the child was a product of previous failed
- 10 heterosexual union?
- 11 A. It doesn't have to be necessarily.
- 12 Q. Would you say in most cases?
- 13 A. Given the census data I talked about earlier, you
- 14 know, most step-families are probably the result of a
- 15 previous union.
- 16 O. So there's --
- 17 A. Or a union that was never there to begin with.
- 18 Q. Okay. Then at some point later in life a new third
- 19 party enters the family unit as the spouse of the
- 20 child's parent?
- 21 A. Yeah. It doesn't have to be later in life. If you
- 22 think about a mother who has a child when she is
- 23 unmarried and then marries another man within the
- first year of life, then that would be a step-father.
- 25 Q. Isn't it true that the literature on step-families say



		1 dge 3
1		the poorer outcome is diminished the earlier the
2		step-parents enter the family unit?
3		MS. HEYSE: I'm going to object to the
4		broad language of the literature. Can we refer to
5		something in the literature? I don't think anything
6		has been identified at this point.
7	BY M	MR. BLOCK:
8	Q.	Go ahead and answer my question.
9	Α.	I don't know specifically about that.
10	Q.	Well, let's look at one of the studies you cited, this
11		is the Albuquerque study. This is Exhibit 12.
12		MARKED BY THE REPORTER:
13		DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 12
14		10:36 a.m.
15	BY M	R. BLOCK:
16	Q.	You know what, I'm going to come back to this so I can
17		give you the right quote.
18		Do you know one way or the other sitting
19		here today whether or not the poorer outcomes diminish
20		earlier whether or not as the earlier that the
21		step-parents enter the family unit, the lesser the
22		negative outcomes are one way or the other?
23	Α.	I'm not sure.
24	Q.	Have you read any other psychological literature
25		discussing reasons why having a step-parent in the



4	and reviews. The laws		1901			
	puncture	can	lead	to	noorer	outcomes?
(Pariocarc	Cair	LCuu	CO	POOTCE	outcomes:

- 2 A. I can't think of any specifically in the field of
- 3 psychology.
- 4 Q. Okay. So as far as you know in the field of
- 5 psychology, they might attribute those poorer outcomes
- to the fact that the family is being disrupted and not
- 7 to the affect of the step-parent?
- 8 A. I don't have any direct knowledge.
- 9 Q. If children with step-parents are at higher risk of
- 10 abuse, do you oppose allowing people with children
- from previous relations to remarry?
- MS. HEYSE: Objection, assumes facts not in
- 13 evidence.
- 14 A. Repeat your question.
- 15 BY MR. BLOCK:
- 16 Q. If children with step-parents are at a higher risk of
- abuse, do you oppose allowing people with children
- from a previous relationship to re-marry and bring a
- new step-parent into the family?
- 20 A. I don't have an expert opinion on that issue.
- 21 Q. Do you believe the data -- do you believe that
- 22 children raised by a step-parent are at a greater risk
- for abuse?
- 24 A. Do I believe that --
- 25 Q. Do children with a step-parent have a higher risk of



1		abuse?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	So you don't have an opinion on whether or not that
4		higher risk of abuse should lead the government to
5		prohibit someone with a child from a previous
6		relationship from re-marrying?
7	Α.	As an economist, I would have to weigh the trade-offs
8		against the extra income that you would have from a
9		two-parent household. I don't have any specific
10		opinion that would apply broadly to remarriage policy.
11	Q.	But do you, based on the fact that children with
12		step-parents are at a higher risk of abuse, have an
13		opinion that same-sex couples shouldn't be allowed to
14		marry; is that right?
15		MS. HEYSE: Objection. He has not
16		testified that he has an opinion with regard to
17		same-sex couples.
18	BY M	IR. BLOCK:
19	Q.	Go ahead.
20	Α.	I don't have a response to that.
21	Q.	You say that children raised by same-sex couples are
22		the equivalent of children raised by a parent and a
23		step-parent; is that right?
24	A.	Sorry.
25	Q.	You said that children raised by same-sex couples, you



1		know, essentially one parent who is a biologic parent
2		and one parent who is a step-parent?
3	Α.	I think the statement I'm making is that a child can't
4		be biologically related to both parents in a same-sex
5		couple.
6	Q.	So you think that a let me ask it again a different
7		way.
8		Do you think a heterosexual couple, a man
9		and a woman who conceive a child, you know, through
10		sperm or egg donation where only one of them has a
11		biological relationship to the child, do you think
12		they are equivalent to the relationship of a parent
13		and step-parent?
14	Α.	I don't really have an opinion on that. If the
15		channel of biological relatedness is important, then
16		it's possible that you might expect worse outcomes in
17		that situation.
18	Q.	So if biological relatedness is a channel or mechanism
19		through which poorer outcome for children are caused,
20		you would expect those poorer outcomes to be equally
21		present if it was a heterosexual couple having a kid
22		from sperm or egg donation than if it was a kid having
23		a child from a sperm or egg donation?
24	Α.	Yes, that's possible.
25	Q.	Do you think heterosexual couples who conceive through



1		sperm or egg donation have children that are at a
2		greater risk or abuse?
3	Α.	I don't know actually.
4	Q.	Do you agree if research were conducted that showed
5		that children of heterosexual couples who were
6		conceived through sperm or egg donation fared just as
7		well as children of heterosexual couples conceived
8		through sexual intercourse that would disprove the
9		hypothesis that biological relatedness is a mechanism
10		that produces poorer outcome?
11		MS. HEYSE: Objection, speculation.
12	A.	Again, the real challenge in conducting an empirical
13		test would be the fact that heterosexual couples who
14		conceive through artificial means might be different
15		than couples that don't. I don't really have a
16		sorry. If you could, rephrase your question.
17	BY M	R. BLOCK:
18	Q.	I'm not asking you if it would disprove the idea of
19		your broader theory that children of same-sex couples
20		have poorer outcomes. I'm asking focusing on this
21		theory that the mechanism is biological relatedness,
22		if research showed that children of heterosexual
23		couples conceived through sperm or egg donation did
24		not have poorer outcomes than children of heterosexual
25		couples conceived through intercourse, do you agree



1		that that would disprove the hypothesis that
2		biological relatedness is the mechanism through which
3		the children of the same-sex couples have poorer
4		outcomes?
5		MS. HEYSE: Same objection.
6	A.	Again, it would have to be a study that had a
7		co-efficient that was close to zero with really tight
8		confidence intervals. It would also have to be a
9		study that, you know, carefully controls for the basic
10		characteristics of the individuals involved.
11	BY N	MR. BLOCK:
12	Q.	If that study existed, though, that it would disprove
13		the hypothesis that biological relatedness is the
14		mechanism?
15	Α.	I don't know that I would necessarily agree with it
16		disproving that it's a mechanism. I don't know.
17	Q.	I thought it was easier to disprove a null hypothesis
18		than to I'll end the question there.
19		MS. HEYSE: Objection, argumentative.
20		MR. BLOCK: I'll withdraw it.
21	BY M	R. BLOCK:
22	Q.	Are you familiar with the research that has been
23		conducted on kids conceived through sperm or egg
24		donation?
25	Α.	I've read it at times over my career, but I'm not



1		specifically familiar with any studies.
2	Q.	Sitting here, can you recall what studies you have
3		read?
4	A.	Yeah. It would just be a vague recollection.
5	Q.	Okay. Isn't it true that the research shows the
6		research that is out there concludes let me start
7		over.
8		Isn't it true that the research that is out
9		there concludes that the children conceived through
10		sperm and egg donation fare just as well as the
11		children conceived through sexual intercourse?
12		MS. HEYSE: I'm going object to the vague
13		reference research.
14	A.	I don't know.
15	BY M	IR. BLOCK:
16	Q.	Do you think that as an expert on an issue you should
17		be familiar with the literature on that issue?
18	Α.	So my expert opinion is about the outcomes of children
19		across the different family structure types. The ones
20		that economists have focused primarily on have been
21		based on these kind of groups that are large enough to
22		provide meaningful statistical inference. There is no
23		information in the census data about artificial
24		reproductive technology used or other things, so I
25		wouldn't really fall into that category of a large



1		nationally representative data set.
2	Q.	You are not offering any expert opinion on the effect
3		that artificial reproductive technologies have on
4		child outcomes?
5	Α.	I am not. Unless it operates through these mechanisms
6		on biological relatedness, gender composition,
7		stability.
8	Q.	So my question is: Are you offering an expert opinion
9		as to the effect that having a child through
10		artificial reproduction such as sperm or egg donation
11		has on child outcomes?
12	Α.	So I would say that based on what we know about
13		biological relatedness, that it's likely that children
14		are going to have worse outcomes through artificial
15		reproductive technology than through an otherwise
16		similar couple that are biologically related to one
17		another.
18	Q.	We have discussed studies on step-parents?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	Now we are discussing studies on a children born
21		through sperm or egg donation?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	You testified as an economist you can't form expert
24		conclusions without a sufficiently rigorous data set;
25		is that right?



1	Α.	That's right.
2	Q.	You said that there isn't a sufficiently rigorous data
3		set for children conceived through sperm or egg
4		donation?
5	A.	That's correct.
6	Q.	So, therefore, as an economist, you can't form an
7		expert conclusion for children that are conceived
8		through sperm or egg donation?
9	Α.	That's correct. What you can do as an economist is
10		extrapolate what you know from other settings. The
11		research on biological relatedness could be
12		extrapolated to say these children would have worse
13		outcomes. I don't have any direct evidence of the
14		particular setting that you are describing.
15	Q.	So what studies are you relying on that attribute
16		poorer outcome for children raised by a step-parent to
17		the lack of biological relatedness as opposed to the
18		disruption caused by bringing a new parent into the
19		family unit?
20	Α.	I'm drawing on the broader base of research related to
21		evolutionary biology that reenforces the fact that
22		people treat those that they are genetically related
23		to than those they aren't related to. It's built into
24		our selfish gene to promulgate our own genetics.
25	0.	So what research are you referring to?



- 1 A. I wouldn't have the study on hand. These would be a
- 2 broad class of studies that are well known.
- 3 Q. They're not cited on your report?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. You are not an expert on evolutionary biology?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. You are just aware there are studies about
- 8 evolutionary biology?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are you aware of studies that critique theories of
- evolutionary biology?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. You don't know one way or the other whether such
- 14 studies exist?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. When you conducted your research for materials to cite
- for purposes of this report, you did not conduct broad
- 18 comprehensive research of the studies that have been
- 19 conducted on this issue?
- MS. HEYSE: Objection, mischaracterizing
- 21 his testimony.
- 22 A. If you could repeat it.
- 23 BY MR. BLOCK:
- 24 Q. When you conducted your search for studies, when you
- 25 conducted your research for studies to cite when



Page 102

- 1 preparing your expert testimony on the issue of 2 biological relatedness, you did not conduct a 3 comprehensive search of the literature on that topic, did you? 4 5 A. No. 6 0. Let's move on to the third mechanism, family 7 stability. You cite studies from Norway and Sweden involving the dissolution rates for same-sex couples. 8 9 Prior to being retained as an expert in this case, had 10 you read those studies? I had read the Anderson study. 11 Α. 12 Q. For what purpose did you read that? 13 Just general intellectual interest. Α. 14 When did you read it? 0. 15 A. I don't remember exactly. 16 In the past year? Q. 17 I wouldn't be able to pinpoint a specific time. A. Yeah.
- 21 A. I don't remember.

18

19

20

Q.

22 Q. Okay. How did you first come across that study?

last year is the first time you read it?

- 23 A. I don't remember that either.
- 24 Q. Okay. Now, isn't it true that the couples examined in

So you don't know, it could have been five years ago

was the first time you read it or it would have been

25 those studies were in domestic partnerships, not



1		by same-sex couples, do you think it would be it
2		would benefit those children if they could have an
3		established legal relationship with both of the
4		parents who are raising them?
5		MS. HEYSE: Objection, vague, outside the
6		scope of his expert report.
7	Α.	I don't know on that one.
8	BY N	MR. BLOCK:
9	Q.	Let's talk about the plaintiffs in this case. One of
10		the women in the couple has adopted several children
11		out of the foster care system in Michigan. Those
12		children are being raised by a same-sex couple. The
13		child who was in foster care did not have a married
14		different sex biologically connected couple to raise
15		them. Those children only have a legal relationship
16		with one of their parents. Do you think it would be
17		in the best interest of those children based on your
18		knowledge of family structure and child outcomes for
19		them to be able to have a legal relationship with both
20		of their parents instead of just one?
21		MS. HEYSE: Objection, calls for a legal
22		conclusion, outside of his expert report, vague.
23		To the extent you think you can answer, go
24		ahead.
25	A.	I don't have an answer to that. I don't know.



25

Page 140

1	ВҮ	MR. BLOCK:
2	Q.	So you don't know one way or the other whether you
3		would expect it to be in the best interest of the
4		child to have a legal relationship with both of their
5		parents in those circumstances?
6		MS. HEYSE: Again, objection, asked and
7		answered and for all of the reasons I noted earlier.
8	A.	Yeah, I don't know. My research is about moving from
9		a situation where the yeah, I don't know the answer
10		to that question.
11	BY	MR. BLOCK:
12	Q.	So this litigation was started because this family
13		wanted to be able to have a second parent adoption and
14		form a relationship where the children knew that if
15		one of the moms that had a legal connection to the
16		child died, they wouldn't be put back into foster care
17		or something similar. Do you, in submitting your
18		expert report in this case, purport to have any
19		opinion on that issue of whether a second parent
20		adoption should be granted?
21	Α.	Yeah. I don't have any expert opinion on that very
22		narrowly defined specific issue. It's not one that
23		would show up in many data sets.
24	Q.	Do you think that the data that you do have about



poorer outcomes for children raised by same-sex

1		parents compared to poorer outcomes for children
2		raised by married heterosexual parents, do you think
3		that data can help answer the question of whether a
4		child who is adopted out of the foster care system by
5		one same-sex parent should be able to have a legal
6		relationship with both of their same-sex parents?
7	Α.	It was a bit of a long question.
8		MS. HEYSE: Right.
9	Α.	I don't know.
10		MR. BLOCK: Off the record.
11		(Off the record at 11:45 a.m.)
12		(Back on the record at 12:01 p.m.)
13	BY N	MR. BLOCK:
14	Q.	Just to tie up loose ends, I think we asked a similar
15		question, but indulge me asking it again.
16		Do you believe data on child outcomes of
17		children raised by same-sex couples is relevant to the
18		question whether same-sex couples should be allowed to
19		marry?
20		MS. HEYSE: Objection, calls for a legal
21		conclusion as to what's relevant.
22	BY M	IR. BLOCK:
23	Q.	Do you have a legal opinion?
24	Α.	No.
25	Q.	Do you have a layperson's opinion?



1		great place to look for the answer.
2	Q.	What inspired you to do this study when you hadn't had
3		a published study on this topic before? What inspired
4		you to take on this topic?
5	Α.	I don't remember exactly. I have always had an
6		interest in family structure questions. Same-sex
7		couples provide an unique opportunity to look at a new
8		type of family structure.
9	Q.	Did you ever have any discussions with either people
10		at the Witherspoon Institute or the National
11		Organization of Marriage or the Alliance Defending
12		Freedom about there being a need for scientific
13		studies that could be used to justify the
14		constitutionality of state laws that prevented
15		same-sex couples from marrying?
16		MS. HEYSE: I'm going to object to asking
17		for him to testify to hearsay and compound. If you
18		want to, take those one by one so that we're clear on
19		what he is talking about.
20	Α.	If you could split them up, I could answer better.
21	BY M	R. BLOCK:
22	Q.	Did you ever have any conversations with people at the
23		Witherspoon Institute about the need to develop
24		scientific research that could be used in defending
25		bans on same-sex marriage?



- 1 A. It wasn't specifically at the Witherspoon Institute.
- 2 I attended a meeting at the Heritage Foundation that
- 3 included people from the Witherspoon Institute,
- 4 Alliance Defense Fund. I don't remember if the
- 5 National Organization of Marriage was there.
- 6 Q. Was this meeting in DC?
- 7 A. This was in DC, yes.
- 8 Q. Was Professor Regnerus at that meeting?
- 9 A. I don't actually remember. I don't remember.
- 10 Q. Do you remember when the meeting was?
- 11 A. I don't remember exactly.
- 12 Q. Was it after the trial in the Perry case had
- 13 concluded?
- 14 A. It was probably before it had concluded, but I'm not
- 15 totally sure on that.
- 16 Q. Was Maggie Gallagher at that meeting?
- 17 A. I don't remember exactly, but there is a pretty good
- chance she was, yeah.
- 19 Q. So what was said at that meeting about the need for
- 20 research?
- MS. HEYSE: Objection, hearsay.
- 22 A. I don't remember exactly what happened there, but it
- 23 might actually have been the first time I met Doug
- 24 Allen. I'm not totally sure on that.
- 25 BY MR. BLOCK:



1	Α.	Mm-hmm.
2	Q.	Are there are any studies that you are aware of as an
3		economist that allows you to make that generalized
4		conclusion on a broad population-based level?
5	Α.	So with those specific activities that you are
6		referring to or with a broader fact that men and women
7		parent differently?
8	Q.	Those specific things I'm referring to.
9	A.	Again, just as an expert, I'd be relying on the
10		aggregation of past studies done by Rhoads and Popenoe
11		with regard to specific time use measures. I would be
12		relying on my even analysis of a very large data set.
13	Q.	So another study that you cite is by Cancian and
14		Meyer.
15	Α.	Mm-hmm.
16	Q.	Who you say used data from divorce cases in Wisconsin
17		from 1986 to 1994 and find that only 10 percent of the
18		cases result in the child being placed in the sole
19		custody of the father compared to 70 percent of the
20		cases of children being placed in the sole custody of
21		the mother.
22		Is it your expert opinion that the fact
23		that during this period in Wisconsin fathers rarely
24		received sole custody indicates children need to be
25		raised by a mother?



1	Α.	It think indicative of a set of judges who ha to weigh
2		a bunch of evidence. In general those judges, acting
3		in wisdom, felt that the children would be better off
4		being raised by their father than their mother
5		sorry being raised by their mother rather than
6		their father.
7	Q.	You think as a general matter children will be more
8		likely to be better off raised by their mother instead
9		of their father?
10	Α.	Actually, what I'm saying is that a set of able, wise
11		judges evaluated information and made a judgment that,
12		in their opinion, they, you know, aggregating across
13		lots of judges they tend to feel that fathers are more
14		able sorry mothers are more able parents than
15		fathers.
16	Q.	Did the study analyze whether placing custody with the
17		mother or father had any effect on child outcomes?
18	Α.	No. I'm offering this as indirect evidence that is
19		drawing on the evaluation of a set of judges.
20	Q.	The time period that the study examined ended 20 years
21		ago in 1994?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Have you read any studies about custody placement for
24		periods since 1994?
25	Α.	In preparing this report, this was a well-known study



- that I could refer to. I'm sure there's the ability
- 2 to use more recent data. I imagine the gap is
- 3 smaller. I imagine that it is still the case that
- 4 more children are placed in the sole custody of their
- 5 mother than the sole custody of their father.
- 6 Q. Have you actually examined any studies other than this
- 7 one concerning custody placement?
- 8 A. No, but it's data that could be accessed by others.
- 9 This was a well-cited paper I used.
- 10 Q. What do you mean when you say it's well-cited?
- 11 A. Published in a really nice journal, went through peer
- 12 review.
- 13 Q. How did you learn that this study existed?
- 14 A. I think I had heard of this study in graduate school.
- 15 I found it through Google Scholar in this case.
- 16 Q. You haven't done a comprehensive analysis of custody
- 17 placement decisions, have you?
- 18 A. No, not myself.
- 19 Q. Do you have any idea what the criteria are for custody
- 20 placement in Michigan?
- 21 A. I'm not an expert on that. I wouldn't know.
- 22 Q. Do you know if in Michigan children are more likely to
- 23 be placed in sole custody of their mothers than their
- 24 fathers?
- 25 A. I would not know.



1	Q.	Are you aware in Michigan the courts are not allowed
2		to consider gender at all when making placement
3		decisions?
4		MS. HEYSE: I'm going to object.
5	Α.	I don't have any information. Again, I was using this
6		as a way to aggregation information of judgments
7		across parents. Regardless whether it's legal now to
8		base custody decisions on gender, this would provide
9		evidence that at least historically the judges have
10		felt that the mothers were better parents than
11		fathers.
12		The data I wanted to look at was nannies.
13		Again, parents are making a judgment whether they want
14		their kids raised by a man or a woman. Unfortunately
15		the census data doesn't distinguish household workers
16		and nannies. I would guess that nannies are
17		predominantly women which would indicate most parents
18		are making a judgment it's better to have a female
19		raise their children than a male.
20	BY M	R. BLOCK:
21	Q.	So on paragraph 42 at the bottom, talking the fourth
22		to last line, fathers tend to stress competition,
23		challenge, initiative, risk taking and independence.
24		Do you believe that's true of all fathers?
25	Α.	Again, when we make statements comparing differences



1	across	gender,	we're	talking	about	average
---	--------	---------	-------	---------	-------	---------

- differences. Within any group there is going to be
- 3 some fathers that are either above or below some
- 4 mothers in the sample.
- 5 Q. This is not in the context of talking about your
- 6 study. Did your study analyze whether fathers
- 7 stressed competition, challenge or initiative?
- 8 A. No. I'm citing the work by David Popenoe.
- 9 Q. You are saying that this reflects an average; is that
- 10 right?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. But you don't have any knowledge of what data set
- Popenoe was looking at, right?
- 14 A. I could go back and look through the citations and
- 15 figure that out. I don't know it offhand.
- 16 Q. So you don't know how big the sample size was, do you?
- 17 A. I don't know.
- 18 Q. You don't know whether it was recruited by convenience
- 19 sampling method or not?
- 20 A. I don't know that either.
- 21 Q. Okay. So assuming it represents like averages, it's
- 22 true that some mothers stress competition, challenge,
- 23 initiative, risk taking, independence, right?
- 24 A. That's likely to be true.
- 25 Q. And in contrast it's true that some fathers stress



1		emotional security and personal safety, right?
2	Α.	That's also likely to be true.
3	Q.	Is it your belief that children are worse off if it's
4		the father who expresses emotional security and
5		personal safety and it's the mother who expresses
6		competition, challenge, initiative, risk taking and
7		independence?
8	Α.	I don't have any opinion on that. I would probably
9		just echo Popenoe's statement that what is clear is
10		that children have dual needs, one for independence
11		and the other for relatedness; one for challenge and
12		the other for support. In that sense, fathers and
13		mothers play complimentary roles.
14	Q.	Did Popenoe examine the outcomes of children?
15	A.	I'm not sure. Again, he is aggregating research
16		across the stuff.
17	Q.	When Popenoe says children have different needs, do
18		you understand him to be saying if those that
19		children will tend to have poorer outcomes if those
20		needs are not met?
21	Α.	I don't know what his intentions were, but I would
22		read that to mean children have dual needs and when we
23		think of those needs, we think of those contributing
24		to positive outcomes in children.
25	Q.	It seems to me based on this paragraph he has said how



1		fathers tend to behave and mothers tend to behave and
2		then concluded from that children have different
3		needs. Are you aware of any studies that have
4		demonstrated that there is that need as opposed to
5		just drawing the inference that there is a need from
6		the fact that those differences in parental gender
7		roles happen to exist?
8	Α.	Yeah, I don't know.
9		MS. HEYSE: Whenever is a good time to take
10		a restroom break.
11	BY M	R. BLOCK:
12	Q.	Just one more question about the custody placement.
13		So if you think it's particularly important for a
14		child to have a mother, is there any would that
15		lead you to conclude that being raised by two women
16		would be better than being raised by a different sex
17		couple?
18	A.	I think the conclusion is that children need to have a
19		mother, so not having a mother is likely to contribute
20		to worse outcomes for children, the absence of a
21		mother. I don't think we know whether a second mother
22		can replace the types of things that a father
23		contributes to children, but based on this evidence
24		about the fact that fathers and mothers play
25		complimentary roles for child outcomes, my assessment



		Tage 05
1		would be it wouldn't replace the father.
2		MR. BLOCK: We can take a break.
3		(Off on the record at 10:05 a.m.)
4		(Back on the record at 10:19 a.m.)
5	BY	MR. BLOCK:
6	Q.	I just want to tie things up on the parental gender.
7		I just want a good summary of your opinions on this.
8		When you describe the way mothers tend to
9		behave and fathers tend to behave, you are describing
10		averages?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	So in individual, sir, some mothers may behave in a
13		ways that fathers normally would and some fathers may
14		behave in a way that is normally associated with the
15		way mothers behave?
16	Α.	Yes. In the same way some single mothers might have
17		better outcomes than a heterosexual married couple.
18	Q.	In some families, the mom might play sports with the
19		kids and the dads may help the kids cook?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	In terms of your study on parental quality time, it's
22		also true that that was looking at averages, right?
23	Α.	Yes. When doing these kinds of studies, that's the
24		only option you have. You are going to find some
25		mothers that have timing problems that are difficult



1	than	normal,	but	you	compare	the	average.
---	------	---------	-----	-----	---------	-----	----------

- 2 Q. There are some cases where moms spent more time
- 3 watching TV and roughhousing and the dads spent more
- 4 time with the kids?
- 5 A. I would have to look at the individual, but I assume
- 6 that would be the case.
- 7 Q. There are also some cases where both the mother and
- 8 father spent equal amounts of time roughhousing or
- 9 doing housework?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Are you aware of any studies saying that when parents
- don't behave the parents tend to behave on average --
- 13 let me rephrase that. Strike that.
- 14 Are you aware of any studies saying that
- when the mom behaves in a way that is typically
- associated with how dads behave or when a dad behaves
- 17 the way that is typically associated with the way moms
- behave, that that leads to poorer outcomes for
- 19 children?
- 20 A. Maybe simplify it a little.
- 21 Q. Yeah.
- 22 A. I think I almost have it.
- 23 Q. I'll find a better way of phrasing it. Are you aware
- of any studies saying children are worse off if the
- 25 mother is the one to play sports with them and the



1		father is the one that does housework and reading with
2		them?
3	Α.	I'm not aware of studies that look specifically at
4		those factors and how they contribute to the child
5		outcomes.
6	Q.	Okay. Are you aware of any studies saying that
7		have you read any studies that conclude that parental
8		gender does not of affect a child's outcome, parental
9		gender roles does not affect the child's outcomes?
10	A.	So in reading the expert witness report of the
11		plaintiff's, I do remember statements to the effect
12		that gender composition does not affect child
13		outcomes. So I imagine that must have been based on
14		published studies. Again, my concern would be that
15		there is often confusion between failing to find a
16		statistical difference and there being no difference
17		in truth.
18	Q.	Were you aware of that study before reading the
19		plaintiffs' expert report?
20	Α.	Not of any specific studies. Again, the way I
21		interpreted the statement of the other expert witness
22		report is basically saying that children don't need a
23		mother. I don't know if that particular statement has
24		been rigorously and empirically tested. I imagine
25		that it hasn't been examined because I imagine many



		raye o
1		scholars would believe that the absence of a mother is
2		likely to have consequences for children.
3	Q.	Are you aware of any studies that found that when
4		mother's behave in ways typically associated with
5		fathers that children have poor outcomes?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	I'm going to ask vice versa. Are you aware of any
8		studies that found that fathers behave in ways
9		typically associated with mothers that children have
10		poor outcomes?
11	Α.	I don't know.
12	Q.	The last question is a variation on this matter. Are
13		you aware of any studies that show when both parents
14		behave in roughly the same way, equal amount of
15		roughhousing and equal amount nurturing, that children
16		have poor outcomes?
17	Α.	Yes, I don't know.
18	Q.	So when you say you don't know, you mean that you are
19		not aware of any such studies?
20	Α.	I'm not aware of any such studies.
21	Q.	Great. Are you aware of any studies finding in
22		same-sex couples when one of the moms behave in a way
23		typically associated with way dads typically behave
24		and the other mom behaves in a way typically
25		associated with the way a mom behaves that that leads



1		to poor child outcomes?
2	Α.	I'm not aware of a study. Again, within the confines
3		of a nationally representative data set on a large
4		sample of children being raised by those families,
5		yeah, I am not aware of any study that fits that
6		criteria.
7	Q.	Okay. Let's go onto the second mechanism you talked
8		about regarding relatedness. In this section, you
9		cite two studies, one by Anderson and one by Brown; is
10		that correct?
11	Α.	That's correct.
12	Q.	Prior to being retained as an expert witness in this
13		cases, had you seen these publications by Anderson and
14		Brown?
15	Α.	No.
16	Q.	So for what purpose so you reviewed them for the
17		first time in connection with preparing your expert
18		report in this case?
19	Α.	That's correct.
20	Q.	Prior to being retained as an expert witness in this
21		case, had you seen any studies that dealt with
22		biologic relatedness?
23	Α.	I'd seen studies related to ten families.
24	Q.	Busies these two studies in this report, are you aware
25		of any other studies that support your opinion that

